

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/694,485	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PETRUS ENGELS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Frederick C. Nicolas	<b>Art Unit</b> 3754	

**All Participants:**

(1) Frederick C. Nicolas, Examiner.

(2) Michael R. Hull, Attorney.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1-2,4-22,24-43,45-59*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*agreed to amend claims 1,4,8-10,14,19,21-22,24-26,28-29,38-42,45,48-59 and the abstract for clarity and cancel claims 6,11-13,30-32,37,39,43.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II. above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)